



Head Teacher: Kirsten MacLeod

FOI – Freedom of Information Policy

Reviewed by Mira Gohil
Reviewed policy agreed by Headteacher: Autumn 2025
Reviewed policy shared with staff on: Autumn 2025
Policy to be reviewed again on: Autumn 2027

1.0 Overview

The underlying principle of this policy is that, in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act 2000, the public have a right to access to recorded information held by public authorities and that public authorities should seek to promote an open regime regarding access to information, subject to the exemptions contained within the relevant legislation.

2.0 Scope and Applicability

Requests for other information should be dealt with in accordance with the statutory guidance. While the Act assumes openness, it recognises that certain information is sensitive. There are exemptions to protect this information.

Requests for information under the FOI act must be made in writing, (which includes email), include the enquirer's name and correspondence address, and state what information they require.

They do not have to mention the Act, nor do they have to say why they want the information. There is a duty to respond to all requests, telling the enquirer whether or not the information is held, and supplying any information that is held, except where exemptions apply.

The FOI Act joins the Data Protection Act (2018) and the Environmental Information Regulations (2004) as legislation under which anyone is entitled to request information from the school.

This policy applies to all recorded information held by the School that relates to the business of the School. This includes:

Information created and held by the School

Information created by the School and held by another organisation on our behalf

Information held by the School provided by third parties, where this relates to a function or business of the School (such as contractual information)

Information held by the School relating to Governors where the information relates to the functions or business of the School

Requests for personal data are still covered by the Data Protection Act (DPA). Individuals can request to see what information the school holds about them. This is known as a Subject Access Request and must be dealt with accordingly.

Requests for information about anything relating to the environment – such as air, water, land, the natural world or the built environment and any factor or measure affecting these – are covered by the Environmental Information Regulations (EIR). They also cover issues relating to Health and Safety. For example, queries about chemicals used in the school or on school land, phone masts, car parks etc., would all be covered by the EIR. Requests under EIR are dealt with in the same way as those under FOI, but unlike FOI requests, they do not need to be written and can be verbal.

If any element of a request to the school includes personal or environmental information, these elements must be dealt with under DPA or EIR. Any other information is a request under FOI, and must be dealt with accordingly

3.0 General Policy

3.1 Obligations and Duties

The school recognises its duty to:

provide advice and assistance to anyone requesting information. We will respond to straightforward verbal requests for information and will help enquirers to put more complex verbal requests into writing so that they can be handled under the Act.

tell enquirers whether or not we hold the information they are requesting (the duty to confirm or deny) and provide access to the information we hold.

3.2 Publication Scheme

We have adopted the ICO Model Publication Scheme for Schools approved by the Information Commissioner. Our Guide to this Publication Scheme, the materials it covers and chargeable fees is available on our website.

3.3 Fulfillment of Request

There is a time limit of 20 school days or 60 working days (whichever is shorter) for responding to the request.

Where we have notified the enquirer that a charge is to be made, the time period stops until payment is received and then continues again once payment has been received.

We will only charge for the cost of copying and transmitting information, not for time taken in reaching decisions regarding whether information is covered by an exemption.

Where we estimate that the cost of locating the information will exceed the statutory threshold of £450, it will consider whether or not to comply with the request. The School is not obliged to comply with such a request but may choose to do so.

The Freedom of Information Act allows us to refuse a request if it is vexatious i.e. where the request is likely to cause a disproportionate or unjustifiable level of distress, disruption or irritation.

We can also refuse a request which seeks the same, or substantially the same information, as the applicant has previously requested, unless a reasonable time has passed between the requests.

3.4 Recording of Request

In order to determine if a request is deemed to be repetitive, records of FOI requests will be kept.

The school will maintain a log of all requests with a chronology of events. This log may also be useful should the requestor lodge a complaint with the regulator as the timescales and the method of response can be easily supplied.

4.0 Roles and Responsibilities

The governing body has delegated the day-to-day responsibility for compliance with the FOI to the Headteacher.

Freedom of Information enquiries should be sent in writing to ADPO Mira Gohil - mira.gohil@prus.newham.sch.uk and the Business Manager – Elizabeth Shirley liz.shirley@prus.newham.sch.uk

All FOI requests, which come into the school, must be reported to the Head Teacher who, along with the Mira Gohil ADPO will be responsible for evaluating and co-ordinating the response.

5.0 Complaints

Any comments or complaints will be dealt with through the school's normal complaints procedure.

We will aim to determine all complaints within 10 days of receipt. We will publish information on our success rate in meeting this target. The school will maintain records of all complaints and their outcome.

If on investigation the school's original decision is upheld, then the school has a duty to inform the complainant of their right to appeal to the Information Commissioner's office.

Appeals should be to the Information Commissioner's Office. They can be contacted at:

<https://ico.org.uk/make-a-complaint/official-information-concerns-report/official-information-concern/>

6.0 Review

This policy will be reviewed and updated on a regular basis, not to exceed 24 months.

Procedure for Dealing with Requests

There will be a delegated person/s on each site who will handle all FOI requests. The delegated person must inform the DPO – Mira Gohil and the Business Manager – Elizabeth Shirley on receipt of a FOI request. In handling a request for information the committee board or delegated person/s will need to ask themselves a series of questions. These are set out below.

Is it a Freedom of Information (FOI) request for information?

A request for information may be covered by one, or all, of the three information rights:

- GDPR enquiries or Subject Access requests are ones where the enquirer asks to see what personal information the PRU holds about the enquirer. If the enquiry is a GDPR request, follow the PRU's GDPR Subject Access Request guidance.
- Environmental Information Regulations enquiries are ones which relate to air, water, land, natural sites, built environment, flora and fauna, and health, and any decisions and activities affecting any of these. These therefore could include enquiries about recycling, phone masts, playing fields, car parking, etc. If the enquiry is about environmental information, follow the guidance on the Information Commission's website.
- Freedom of Information enquiries are concerned with all other information and the reasoning behind decisions and policies. The request does not have to mention the Freedom of Information Act. All requests for information that are not data protection or environmental information requests are covered by the Freedom of Information Act.

Is this a valid FOI request for information?

A FOI request should:

- Be in writing, including email(a);
 - State the enquirer's name and correspondence address (email addresses are sufficient);
 - Describe the information requested – there must be enough information to be able to identify and locate the information(b); and
 - Not be covered by one of the other pieces of legislation.
- a) Verbal enquiries are not covered by the FOI Act. Such enquiries can be dealt with when the enquiry is fairly straightforward. However, for more complex enquiries, and to avoid disputes over what information was requested, we ask the enquirer to put the request in writing or email, when the request will become subject to the FOI.
- b) In cases where the enquiry is ambiguous, we will attempt to assist the enquirer to describe more clearly the information requested. Where possible, we will establish direct contact. The aim is to clarify the nature of the information requested and not to determine the aims or motivation of the enquirer. If we notify the enquirer that we

need further information to enable us to answer, we do not have to deal with the request until the further information is received. The response time limit commences from the date the further information is received.

Does the PRU hold the information?

“Holding” the information means information relating to the business of the PRU which:

- The PRU has created, or
- The PRU has received from another body or person, or
- Is held by another body on the PRU’s behalf.

Information means both hard copy and digital information, including emails.

If the PRU does not hold the information, we do not have to create or acquire it just to answer the enquiry, although a reasonable search should be made before responding that you have **not** got the information the PRU might be expected to hold.

Has the information requested already been made public?

If the information requested is already in the public domain, for instance through the Publication Scheme, we will direct the enquirer to the information and explain how to access it.

Is the request vexatious or manifestly unreasonable or repeated?

The Act states that there is no obligation to comply with vexatious requests. This is taken to mean a request which is designed to cause inconvenience, harassment, or expense, rather than to obtain information, and would require substantial diversion of resources or would otherwise undermine the work of the PRUs.

We do not have to comply with repeated identical or substantially similar requests from the same applicant unless a reasonable interval has elapsed between requests.

Could a third party’s interests be affected by disclosure?

Consultation of third parties may be required if their interests could be affected by the release of the information, and any such consultation may influence the decision. We do not need to consult where we are not going to disclose the information because we are applying for an exemption.

Consultation will be necessary where:

- Disclosure of information may affect the legal rights of a third party, such as the right to have certain information treated in confidence or rights under Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights;
- The views of the third party may assist us to determine if information is exempt from disclosure, or
- The views of the third party may assist us to determine the public interest.

Does an exemption apply?

The presumption of the legislation is that we will disclose information unless the Act provides a specific reason to withhold it. Certain information is subject to either absolute or qualified exemptions. For a full list of exemptions refer to the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

Only where we have real concerns about disclosing the information should we refer to see whether an exemption might apply. Where the potential exemption is a qualified exemption, we need to consider the public interest test to identify if the public interest in applying the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing it. Therefore, unless it is in the public interest to withhold the information, it will be released.

What if the request is for personal information about the applicant?

Personal information requested by the subject of that information is exempt under the FOI Act as such information is covered by the General Data Protection Regulation 2018. Individuals must make a “subject access request” under the GDPR if they wish to access information about themselves.

What if the details contain personal information?

Personal information requested by third parties is also exempt under the FOI where release of that information would breach the General Data Protection Regulation 2018. If a request is made for a document which contains personal information whose release to a third party would breach the General Data Protection Regulation, the document may be issued by blanking out the relevant personal information.

The procedure for redaction, or blocking out information, is to mask the passages which are not to be disclosed and then photocopy the document. Annotate in the margin against each blank passage the exemption and section of the Act under which the passage is exempt. Explain in the covering letter that the relevant exemptions are marked in the attachments and in the case of non-absolute exemptions, how the public interest test has been considered.

Under no circumstances should the document be rewritten, so that the resulting document appears as though it does not contain the exempted passage.

Is there a time limit for replying to the enquirer?

Compliance with a request must be prompt and within the prescribed limit of 20 working days, excluding school holidays. Failure to comply may result in a complaint to the Information Commissioner. The response time starts from the time the request is received. Where we have asked the enquirer for more information to enable us to answer, the 20 days begins when this further information has been received.

If a qualified exemption applies and we need more time to consider the public interest test, we will reply within the 20 days stating that an exemption applies but include an estimate of the date by which a decision on the public interest test will be made. This should be within a “reasonable” time – in practice, it is recommended by the Department that normally this should be within 10 working days.

What action is required to refuse a request?

If the information is not to be provided, the person dealing with the request must immediately contact the person in the PRU with delegated responsibility for FOI to ensure that the case has been properly considered and the reasons for refusal are sound. If it is decided to refuse a request, we will send a refusal notice, which must contain:

1. The fact that the responsible person cannot provide the information asked for;
2. Which exemption we are claiming to apply;
3. Why the exemption applies to this enquiry if it is not self-evident;
4. The reasons for refusal if based on cost of compliance;
5. In the case of non-absolute exemptions, how we have applied the public interest test, specifying the public interest factors taken into account before reaching the decision (see Appendix 2);
6. Reasons for refusal on vexatious or repeated grounds
7. Details of the internal complaints procedure.

For monitoring purposes and in case of an appeal against a decision not to release the information or an investigation by the Information Commissioner, the responsible person must keep a record of all enquiries where all or part of the requested information is withheld and exemptions are claimed. The record must include the reasons for the decision to withhold the information. Records are to be retained for five years. There are no requirements to keep records where we have supplied the information requested.

What do we do if someone asks a follow up question?

If an applicant requests a follow up question this is treated as a new request.

Who has delegated responsibilities?

The Committee has delegated responsibility for compliance with the FOI Act to the Headteacher of each PRU.

What do we do if someone complains?

Any written (including email) expression of dissatisfaction – even if it does not specifically seek a review – should be handled through the PRU’s existing complaints procedure. Wherever practicable the review should be handled by someone not involved in the original decision. The PRU will set a target time for determining complaints and publish information on the success rate in meeting the target time. The PRU will maintain records of all complaints and their outcomes.

When the original request has been reviewed and the outcome is that the information should be disclosed this should be done as soon as practicable. When the outcome is that procedures within the PRU have not been properly followed, the PRU will review procedures to prevent any recurrence. When the outcome upholds the original decision or action, the applicant will be informed of their right to appeal to the Information Commissioner. The appeal should be made in writing to:

Information Commissioner’s Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire
SK9 5AF

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION POLICY – APPENDIX 1

Appendix 1 – Exemptions

Although decisions on disclosure should be made on a presumption of openness, the FOI Act recognises the need to preserve confidentiality and protect sensitive material in some circumstances.

We will not withhold information in response to a valid request unless one of the following applies:

- An exemption to disclose, or
- The information sought is not held, or
- The request is considered vexatious or repeated, or
- The cost of compliance exceeds the threshold

The duty to confirm or deny

A person applying for information has the right to be told if the information requested is held by the PRU, and if that is the case to have the information sent (subject to any of the exemptions). This obligation is known as the PRU’s “duty to confirm or deny” that it holds the information. However, the PRU does not have to confirm or deny if:

- The exemption is an absolute exemption or
- In the case of qualified exemptions, confirming or denying would itself disclose exempted information.

Exemptions

A series of exemptions are set out in the Act which allow the withholding of information in relation to an enquiry. Some are much specialised in their application, such as national security, and are not normally relevant to the Academies. There are more than 20 exemptions but we are likely to use only a few of them.

There are two general categories of exemptions:

- Absolute – where there is no requirement to confirm or deny that the information is held, disclose the information or consider the public interest test, and
- Qualified – where, even if an exemption applies, there is a duty to consider the public interest in disclosing information.

What are the Absolute Exemptions?

There are 7 absolute exemptions listed in the Act at the time of writing (see the FOI Act for further detail). Even where an absolute exemption applies:

- It does not mean that we can’t disclose in all cases; it means that disclosure is not required by the Act. A decision could be taken to ignore the exemption and release the information taking into account all the facts of the case.

- There is still a legal obligation to provide reasonable advice and assistance to the enquirer.

What are the Qualified Exemptions?

With qualified exemptions, even if it is decided that an exemption applies, there is a duty to consider the public interest in confirming or denying that the information exists and in disclosing the information. Guidance on carrying out the public interest test is in Appendix 2.

Protective markings and Applying Exemptions

When considering if an exemption to disclosure should apply, we will bear in mind that the presence of a protective marking (Restricted, Confidential or Secret) does not constitute an exemption and is not, in itself, sufficient grounds on which to prevent disclosure. Each case must be considered on its merits.

Timing

Where information has previously been withheld, it must not be assumed that any subsequent requests for the same information will also be refused. Sensitivity of information decreases with age and the impact of any disclosure will be different depending on when the request is received. Therefore, for each request, we will consider the harm that could result at the time of the request and, while taking into account any previous exemption applications, each case should be considered separately.

Next steps

In all cases, before writing to the enquirer, the person given responsibility for FOI by the governing body will need to ensure that the case has been properly considered, and that the reasons for refusal, or public interest test refusal, are sound. To help ensure this, every case of refusal is reviewed by the Headteacher.

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION POLICY – APPENDIX 2

Applying the Public Interest Test

Having established that a qualified exemption definitely applies to a particular case, we must then carry out a public interest test to identify if the public interest in applying the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing it. Therefore, unless it is in the public interest to withhold the information, it has to be released. Although precedent and case law will play a part, individual circumstances will vary and each case will need to be considered on its own merits.

Carrying out the test

It is worth noting that what is in the public interest is not necessarily the same as that which may be of interest to the public. It may be irrelevant that a matter may be the subject of public curiosity.

In most cases it will be relatively straightforward to decide where the balance of the public interest in disclosure lies. However, there will inevitably be cases where the decision is a difficult one.

Applying such a test depends to a high degree on objective judgement and a basic knowledge of the subject matter and its wider impact in the PRUs and possibly wider. Factors that might be taken into account when weighing the public interest include:

For Disclosure	Against Disclosure
Is disclosure likely to increase access to information held by the PRU?	Is disclosure likely to distort public reporting or be misleading because it is incomplete?
Is disclosure likely to give the reasons for a decision or allow individuals to understand decisions affecting their lives or assist them in challenging those decisions?	Is premature disclosure likely to prejudice fair scrutiny, or release sensitive issues still on the internal agenda or evolving?

Is disclosure likely to improve the accountability and transparency of the school in the use of public funds and help to show that it obtains value for money?	Is disclosure likely to cause unnecessary public alarm or confusion?
Is disclosure likely to contribute to public debate and assist the understanding of existing or proposed policy?	Is disclosure likely to seriously jeopardise the PRU's legal or contractual position?
Is disclosure likely to increase public participation in decision making?	Is disclosure likely to infringe upon other legislation e.g. Data Protection Act?
Is disclosure likely to increase public participation in the political processes in general?	Is disclosure likely to create a controversial precedent on the release of information or impair our ability to obtain information in the future?
Is disclosure likely to bring to light information affecting public safety?	Is disclosure likely to adversely affect the PRU's proper functioning and discourage openness in expressing opinions?
Is disclosure likely to reduce further enquiries on the topic?	If a large amount of information on the topic has already been made available, would further disclosure shed any more light or serve any useful purpose?

Note also that:

- Potential or actual embarrassment to, or loss of confidence in, the PRUs, staff, or PRU/governors is NOT a valid factor to consider.
- The fact that the information is technical, complex to understand and may be misunderstood may not of itself be a reason to withhold information.
- The potential harm of releasing information will reduce over time and should be considered at the time the request is made rather than by reference to when the relevant decision was originally taken.
- The balance of the public interest in disclosure cannot always be decided on the basis of whether the disclosure of particular information would cause harm, but on certain higher order considerations such as the need to preserve confidentiality of internal discussions.
- A decision not to release information may be perverse, i.e. would a decision to withhold information because it is not in the public interest to release it, itself result in harm to public safety, the environment or a third party.

We will record the answers to these questions and the reasons for these answers. Deciding on the public interest is not simply a matter of adding up the number of relevant factors on each side. We will decide how important each factor is in the circumstances and make an overall assessment. This assessment will be reviewed by a member of the PRU's Executive Team. If the reviewer disagrees with the assessment, it will be referred to another reviewer.

Decision for disclosure

Where the balance of the public interest lies in disclosure, the enquiry will be dealt with and the information required will be made available.

Decision against disclosure

After carrying out the public interest test if it is decided that the exemption should still apply, we will reply to the request with the appropriate reply under the circumstances.

There will be occasions when it has been decided that a qualified exemption applies but consideration of the public interest test may take longer. In such a case, we will contact the enquirer within 20 working days stating that a particular exemption applies, but we will include an estimate of the date by which a decision on the public interest test will be made. This will be within a reasonable time, normally no more than 10 working days beyond the 20 days.